### CITY OF VANCOUVER

### REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

A Regular meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, May 9, 1972, in the Council Chamber, at approximately 9:30 a.m.

PRESENT:

Her Worship the Deputy Mayor (Alderman Linnell) Aldermen Adams, Bird, Broome, Hardwick, Phillips, Rankin, Sweeney and Wilson

ABSENT:

His Worship the Mayor (Civic Business)

Alderman Calder

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL

R. Thompson

The proceedings in the Council Chamber were opened with PRAYER prayer.

### 'IN CAMERA' MEETING

The Council agreed to an 'In Camera' meeting later this day.

### ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Alderman Broome, SECONDED by Alderman Adams,

THAT the Minutes of the Regular Council meeting (including 'In Camera'), dated May 2, 1972, be adopted.

- CARRIED

### COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Alderman Hardwick, SECONDED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT the Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Her Worship the Deputy Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

### REPORT REFERENCE AND BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION REPORTS

### Rezoning Application: S/W Corner of School Avenue and Tyne Street

The Director of Planning and Civic Development explained to Council various factors of the application of Mr. R. Wilding, Architect, on behalf of the Calling Foundation, to rezone the South-West corner of School Avenue and Tyne Street i.e. east 1.3 acres of Lot B, Block 21 D.L. 50 from RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to RM-2 Multiple Dwelling District for a Senior Citizens' Housing Project.

The Board of Administration report of April 25th, 1972 advising of the recommendation of the Technical Planning Board in not proposing approval, and recommendation of the Town Planning Commission proposing approval was noted.

### REPORT REFERENCE AND BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION REPORTS (continued)

Rezoning Application S/W Corner of School Ave. and Tyne St. (cont'd)

Questions were put to the Architect.

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

THAT this application be referred to a Public Hearing to be held as soon as possible;

FURTHER THAT the Director of Planning and Civic Development report back at his earliest convenience on the desirability of rezoning the large site to the west, having a width of approximately 105 feet and an average depth of 350 feet, as well as reporting on other related matters.

- CARRIED

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Proposed Amendment to Area 'A': Champlain Heights

Council held a public meeting to consider the Board of Administration report dated April 18th, 1972 re Proposed Amendment to Area 'A': Champlain Heights. In this regard a representative of the Director of Planning and Civic Development gave a report explanation on the Board report which is quoted as follows:

'The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:

"In a letter dated March 23rd, 1972, the Hudson's Bay Company advises us that they have an option to purchase Dawson Developments' Sites 7 and 8 for the purpose of expanding their shopping centre site. It is their opinion that to provide a viable retail operation on the shopping centre site and provide a greater service to the community, they need an increase in specialty rental stores. An expansion eastward to the centreline of Doman Street would permit an addition of 25,000-35,000 square feet of floor area. Dawson have agreed to sell their land provided the Hudson's Bay Company gains the approval of City Council.

The proposal by the Hudson's Bay Company would in effect amend Area A in Champlain Heights as indicated on the map attached as Appendix I.

The 12.862 acre shopping centre site (Site #2) was advertised on April 6th, 1971 on the basis of price and best-design. The conditions of development for this site are set out in Appendix II.

The Hudson's Bay Company bid the highest for the site -- \$1,601,040 (approximately \$125,000 per acre) and exceeded its competitor by \$331,040. The Jury accredited the Bay scheme as the best design as well as the highest price. Council approved the sale of Site #2 to the Bay on July 27th, 1971 subject to:

1. the date of sale being 120 days from the date City Council approves the sale or the date of the issuance of a development permit, whichever is sooner

## 1. Proposed Amendment to Area 'A': Champlain Heights (cont'd)

- 2. the purchaser granting the City an option to repurchase the land at the net sales price, which option will be exercised if development is not started within 18 months of the date of sale
- 3. the provision of necessary easements
- 4. the purchaser complying generally with conditions of development approved by Council for Site #2 (attached as Appendix II).

Sites 7 and 8 (2.471 acres) were advertised on March 2nd, 1971 on the basis of highest price for either condominium or rental development for garden apartments and/or townhouses. The conditions of development for Sites 7 and 8 are set out in Appendix III. No bids were received for these sites.

Subsequently, on June 1st, 1971, Council approved the sale of a consolidated Sites 7 and 8 to Dawson Developments Limited for a price of \$162,000 (approximately \$65,500 per acre) and subject to:

- 1. the date of sale being 90 days from the date that City Council approves the sale or the date of issuance of a development permit, whichever is sooner
- 2. the purchaser granting to the City of Vancouver an option to repurchase at the net sale price, which option will be exercised if development is not started within 18 months of the date of sale
- 3. the provision of necessary easements.

It should be pointed out that Dawson Developments Limited in accordance with the conditions of development for this site could have built garden apartments at a floor space ratio of .75 with no maximum on the total number of dwelling units. Because of the market, they elected to design their site for condominium townhouse units. The nature and size of the site has made townhouse development very difficult.

In making a decision with respect to an amendment to Area A, Council should consider the following points:

- Assuming the fact that a Department Store requires a minimum area of 92,000 square feet and a food store a minimum of 25,000 square feet to be viable operations, the additional land and resulting floor area would allow the developer to provide better service to the community by the provision of a total of approximately 50,000 square feet of rental units.
- 2. The consolidation of Sites 7 and 8 with the shopping centre site would eliminate two less than satisfactory situations, and would also allow for the closure of Doman Street south of 54th Avenue.

## 1. Proposed Amendment to Area 'A': Champlain Heights (continued)

- 3. Expansion of the shopping centre to the east would provide development very close to the existing Captain Cook Elementary School. This, however, would create no problem if sufficient landscaping was provided along the eastern boundary of the shopping centre and if Doman Street was closed or access to the shopping centre prohibited.
- 4. The shopping centre site was advertised as a 12.8 acre site and other bidders may claim that their bids would have been different had the site been advertised as a larger one.

It is the view of the Director of Planning and Civic Development that Council should approve the proposed amendment to Area A, Champlain Heights.

### It is RECOMMENDED:

- 1. THAT Council approve the Amendment to Area A, Champlain Heights, to permit the enlargement of the shopping centre site, subject to:
  - (a) the Amendment being generally in accordance with Appendix X
  - (b) the floor area as a result of such an addition, not exceeding 30,000 square feet and the overall development not exceeding a floor space ratio of .25; the additional floor area to be provided in specialty rental stores
  - (c) adequate landscaping, including fencing, being provided adjacent to the school site.
- 2. If (1) above is approved, and subject to the concurrence of the Vancouver School Board, Doman Street south of 54th Avenue be closed as a public street and the property disposed of as follows:
  - (a) the west 30' be added to the shopping centre site subject to
    - (i) a purchase price satisfactory to the City being determined for the west 30'
    - (ii) the Hudson's Bay Company agreeing to pay for
      - the cost of removing curbs, pavement, service utilities, etc. which are deemed necessary by the City Engineer
      - the cost of any adjustments in the sidewalks, pavements, etc. required to provide pedestrian and vehicular access to Captain Cook School
      - the cost of removal, replacement or installation of new services as determined by the City Engineer
      - the cost of survey and all registration of plans required to implement this Amendment.
  - (b) the east 30' be consolidated with the existing school property, the cost of such alterations to be subject to the same conditions as (a)(ii) above."'

## 1. Proposed Amendment to Area 'A': Champlain Heights (cont'd)

A representative of the Fraserview-Killarney Local Area Council appeared with a brief on the matter, proposing expropriation of Sites 7 and 8 owned by Dawson Developments Limited and asking consultations be held with this organization in respect of design and development of non committed lands in Champlain Heights. It is further proposed that agreements respecting sale of City owned lands be phrased to avoid land speculation.

Mr. A.T.R. Campbell, Barrister, representing the Hudson's Bay Company interests in respect of Sites 7 and 8 had an opportunity of speaking to the Council, including a representative from the Architects for this Company.

Letters were noted from the Administrative Officer for the Department of Municipal Affairs and the Manager of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation expressing opposition in regard to use of Sites 7 and 8 for a shopping centre as proposed by the Hudson's Bay Company. Their position is based on the fact that the two senior governments have under development a public housing site immediately to the south of Site 8, and therefore it is felt the new shopping development would adversely affect this housing development. A representative of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation appeared in further explanation.

MOVED by Alderman Hardwick,

THAT the communications from the two senior governments on this subject be received.

- CARRIED

Their being no further representations, and as there was a further aspect of the matter to be discussed 'In Camera', the whole question was deferred pending this 'In Camera' deliberation.

### 2. Certain Grant Requests

Certain outstanding applications for grants, deferred previously, were resubmitted and action taken as follows:

Vancouver Safety Council: Grant

MOVED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT a grant of \$3,750 be approved to the Vancouver Safety Council subject to the organization raising a matching amount.

- LOST

Riley Park Area Council Youth Enterprises: Grant

MOVED by Alderman Wilson,

THAT a grant of \$1,800 be approved to the Riley Park Area Council Youth Enterprises.

- LOST

MOVED by Alderman Wilson,

THAT further consideration of the application of the Riley Park Area Council Youth Enterprises for a grant be given at a future Council meeting.

- CARRIED

### 2. Certain Grant Requests (cont'd)

Se-Cure Organization of B.C.: Grant

MOVED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT a grant of \$500 be approved to the Se-Cure Organization of B.C.

- LOST

John Howard Society of B.C.: Grant

MOVED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT a grant of \$3,000 be approved to the John Howard Society of B.C.

- LOST

### COMMUNICATIONS

1. Council Representative to Sea Festival Board of Directors, 1972

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT, pursuant to recommendation of His Worship the Mayor, Alderman Wilson be appointed as the City's representative to the Board of Directors of the 1972 Sea Festival, pursuant to the request received from that organization.

- CARRIED

2. Change in Voting Powers: C.F.M.M.

Pursuant to advice received from the Executive Director of the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities, in which it was noted the national Board of Directors intends to propose to the forthcoming conference, a change in voting rights which would grant the City of Vancouver an increase from one to seven votes if approved, it was

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT if the new voting rights proposal is adopted, the City of Vancouver voting authority at the forthcoming conference be as follows:

Alderman	Wilson	2	2
Mayor		]	L
Alderman	Adams	]	L
Alderman	Linnell	]	Ĺ
Alderman	Phillips	]	L
Alderman	Sweeney	]	L

- CARRIED

### 4. Welfare Emergency

MOVED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT the telegram from the Metropolitan Council of the United Church of Canada commenting with respect to the welfare emergency be deferred for consideration later this day after a report has been received from the Chairman of the Health and Welfare Committee on the present situation.

Regular Council, May 9, 1972 . . . . . . . . . .

The Council recessed at approximately 10:45 a.m., following which an 'In Camera' meeting was held, and the Council then recessed at 12:00 noon to reconvene in open Council at 2:00 p.m. with the same personnel present.

### COMMUNICATIONS (continued)

### 4. Welfare Emergency (cont'd)

Two telegrams were noted, from the Metropolitan Council of the United Church of Canada, and from the Social Action Unit of the Diocese of New Westminster referring to the present welfare situation as affected by the strike of civic employees, and urging certain actions be taken to alleviate this situation.

MOVED by Alderman Broome,
THAT these telegrams be received.

- CARRIED

The Deputy Mayor Alderman Linnell, advised of the various steps taken in an endeavour to cope with the social service problem during the strike emergency.

A representative of the Crises Centre appeared and among other requests urged cheques be mailed to recipients, special transportation arrangements to distribution centres be made and bargaining be resumed in an endeavour to settle this strike of civic employees. A representative of the Social Workers appeared also, and requested the City endeavour to have this whole question declared an 'emergency service'.

MOVED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT at least two or three stenographers from the City staff now working be sent to the Welfare Department to render assistance.

(tabled)

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT the motion of Alderman Rankin be tabled until a report is received from the Board of Administration as to what additional staff could be made available to the Welfare Department during this strike emergency.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT the City Council request the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union to regard this social service matter as an emergency situation, and provide the necessary staff to assist in these various welfare duties.

- CARRIED

MOVED in amendment by Alderman Rankin,
THAT the following words be added to the motion of Alderman
Phillips:

'and the Union representative be requested to appear before Council to discuss the question.'

- LOST

The amendment having lost, the motion was put and carried.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS

1. Grade Crossing Protection: East and West Boulevard and 49th Avenue

MOVED by Alderman Hardwick,

THAT the following Board of Administration report dated April 21, 1972 be approved.

- CARRIED

'The City Engineer reports as follows:

"49th Avenue is scheduled to be paved this year from Oak Street to Marine Crescent. The intersection of 49th Avenue with East and West Boulevards will require signalization in order to efficiently and safely accommodate traffic movements on the wider street.

As the V. & L.I. Railway crosses 49th Avenue between the two Boulevards, a railway crossing signal will also be required coincident with the traffic signal installation. It has been estimated that this improved railway crossing protection will cost approximately \$25,000. The normal cost sharing arrangement of 80% from the Grade Crossing Protection Fund,  $12\frac{1}{2}\%$  from the City and  $7\frac{1}{2}\%$  from the railway should apply. The City's share, in this instance, would be approximately \$3,000 for the initial installation plus 50% of the annual maintenance costs.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that Grade Crossing Protection for this intersection be approved in principle subject to detailed cost estimates being submitted at a later date and that an application be made to the Canadian Transport Commission for this protection."

Your Board RECOMMENDS the foregoing report of the City Engineer be adopted.'

2. Rezoning Application:
Block Bounded by Burrard, Nelson,
Hornby and Helmcken

MOVED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT the following Board of Administration report dated April April 21, 1972 be approved.

- CARRIED

'The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:

"An application has been received from J. Barrie Campbell, President of the Vancouver Development Corp. Ltd., Suite 3709, Place Victoria, Montreal, Quebec, requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-law whereby the above noted property would be rezoned from a CM-2 Commercial District to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District for the purpose of 'a group of buildings with the occupancies of: office, hotel, personal care center & apartments, underground retail, cinemas, parking and service area'.

The subject site has a frontage on Burrard and Hornby Street of 475' and a depth on Nelson and Helmcken Street of 260', formerly the Dawson School site.

### HISTORY

Submitted with the application is a brief 'Development Proposals -- Block 80', which states in part:

Regular Council, May 9, 1972 . . . . .

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (continued)

# 2. Rezoning Application: Block Bounded by Burrard, Nelson, Hornby and Helmcken (cont'd)

'...Proposed uses are Offices, Hotel, Apartments and a Personal Care Home. Each use will be enclosed in a simple building connected through a pedestrian mall and parking facilities below grade. The treed and land-scaped park will be open to the City on all 4 surrounding streets.

...Our parking study indicates that the following breakdwon of parking spaces will serve the needs of the project:

Hotel 75 spaces Offices 75 "
Apartments & Personal Care

Home

350 spaces

... Apart from parking and services there will also be a small number of retail stores to serve the site and the immediate surroundings. This area will also contain restaurants and bar as part of the hotel operation, and two cinemas with a common projection room and lobby.

A modest office building is proposed of the type suitable for a location on the fringe of the Central Business District.

Intentions are to provide for a single major tenant with mixed occupancy for the balance of the building.

The Notel will have approximately 200 rooms, in the medium price category.

Present plans envisage an apartment building providing approximately 260 apartments of the studio and I bedroom variety. The apartments will be in the medium rental range, designed for people who want to live close to the downtown area and do not necessarily own a car.

Present plans are to develop a Personal Care Home for elderly people. Small apartments will be provided, along with community spaces for eating and recreation.

### Floor Areas:

 Personal Care Home:
 total of 240,000 sq. ft.

 Office:
 101,150 sq. ft.

 Hotel:
 101,150 sq. ft.

 Apartment:
 187,850 sq. ft

for a total of 630,150 sq. ft.

The applicant indicates a floor space ratio of 5.1; the site coverage being 27.3%. However, it would appear the commercial facilities below grade have not been included in the floor space ratio of 5.1, and using the figures submitted, including retail facilities, cinema and hotel facilities, the floor space ratio would appear to be 5.66.'

The concept plan also submitted, marked "Received, December 31, 1971", indicates four high-rise buildings; the personal care home being 19 storeys, plus mechanical floor; office being 13 storeys, plus mechanical floor; hotel being 13 storeys, plus mechanical floor; apartment being 25 storeys, plus mechanical floor.

The sketch plans submitted are inadequate to analyze any detail as to open space meeting by-law requirements, daylighting, vertical angles, setbacks, floor space ratio, required off-street parking, plaza level, etc.

2. Rezoning Application:
Block Bounded by Burrard, Nelson,
Hornby and Helmcken (cont'd)

The Technical Planning Board on February 18, 1972 RECOMMENDED:

'THAT the application be APPROVED thereby rezoning the area to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District, and the uses be restricted to apartment building, hotel, personal care home, office building, retail stores, theatres (excluding drive-in), customary ancillary uses, off-street parking and off-street loading, subject to prior compliance by the owners to the following conditions:

- 1. The detailed scheme of development to be first approved by the Technical Planning Board, having regard to the orientation of the buildings on the site in relation to the surrounding properties, provision of adequate open space, the plazas generally not to exceed 3' above adjoining street grades, and after having advice from the Design Panel.
- 2. The floor space ratio not to exceed 5.66 gross, but excluding underground parking, boiler rooms, and off-street loading facilities.
- 3. All utilities to be underground.
- 4. Adequate off-street parking and loading.
- 5. Satisfactory provision for vehicular ingress and egress to the underground parking facilities.

Further, that should the foregoing conditions not be complied with within 180 days of approval at Public Hearing to the rezoning, the approval contained in this resolution shall expire.

The Vancouver City Planning Commission on April 7, 1972 approved the form of development in principle as a basis for CD-1 zoning with the detailed scheme of development being reviewed by the Commission at the earliest possible stage in the development permit submission; such review to include examination of the disposition of the site, development of 76% of the site with a satisfactory scheme including plantings of mature trees and provision of adequate drainage to support the concept of "green" open space; provision of adequate underground parking; retail continuity or pedestrian interest in relation to the development of the surrounding area including Block 71."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the reports of the Technical Planning Board and the Vancouver City Planning Commission be received and the matter be referred for the consideration of Council at a Public Hearing.

### 3. Mobile Homes

MOVED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration dated April 20th, 1972 re mobile homes, be deferred pending the hearing of a delegation from the United Mobile Homeowners' Co-operative Association of B.C. pursuant to request received.

- CARRIED

## 4. Purchase of Property re Rupert Park Extension

MOVED by Alderman Broome,
THAT the following report of the Board of Administration dated
April 26, 1972 be approved.

- CARRIED

' The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:-

### "Establishment of Rupert Park

On December 6th, 1966 Council approved the transfer of approximately 25.4 acres of City owned land to the Parks Board at a cost of \$266,000. Council further directed that the balance of the sum approved by ratepayers for a north east sector park i.e. \$234,000 be made available to the Parks Board for park development.

Council did not agree to the request from the Parks Board that the balance of the funds be used to acquire 12 private parcels located south of Kitchener Street between Rupert Street and the City owned land on the west side of the Cassiar - Rupert Diversion.

A plan showing the location of these private parcels is attached as Appendix I.

On this question Council resolved:

'THAT the matter of purchase of private properties involved be referred to the Parks Board for its consideration and recommendation at some future date.'

### Action since 1966

The Parks Roard have proceeded rapidly with the development of the 20 acre portion of Rupert Park east of the Cassiar - Rupert Diversion, and work is substantially completed, including a pitch and putt course, a service building, baseball and football field and landscaping.

In the portion west of the Diversion, one private property was acquired (Lot 22, Blk. 3, S½ of NW ¼, Sec. 29, THSL) at the intersection point of Rupert Street and the Diversion, in order to change the alignment of the Diversion in its approach to the 1st Avenue intersection.

The widening of the Diversion to three lanes in each direction separated by a median is presently under way as part of the 1972 paving program.

### Applications to subdivide Lots 1 and 2, Blk. 3, Sec. 29, THSL

Two applications to subdivide the above two lots into three 35' lots have been received from Mr. Gino Bucchiotti dated February 21st, 1971 and from Mr. B. Prasad of Block Brothers Realty Limited dated March 23rd, 1972.

The two lots are within the area proposed by the Park Board in 1966 for the extension of Rupert Park and were therefore referred to them for their advice.

On March 27th, 1972 the Parks Board resolved:

'That the Board agree to purchase the two lots.'

## 4. Purchase of Property re Rupert Park Extension (cont'd)

### Proposed Purchase of Lots 1 and 2, Blk. 3, Sec. 29, THSL

The creation of a usable park west of the Cassiar Rupert Diversion depends on the ultimate acquisition of all private properties south of Kitchener Street and east of Rupert Street.

On the basis that approval of the subdivision application would lead to the construction of 3 new houses in this future park area, it is proposed that Council approve the request of the Parks Board to purchase the two lots. Construction of two new houses is anticipated even were the subdivision application to be refused.

### Source of Funds

Funds for the proposed purchase of the two lots, which have a 1972 assessed value of \$15,580 are not included in either Table I or Table II of the 1971-75 Park Site Purchase Programme.

It is therefore proposed that the 1971-75 Park Site Purchase Programme be amended to include protective purchasing to preclude development in the proposed Rupert Park Extension, bounded on the west by Rupert Street and on the east by the existing park site. Further purchases under this procedure are expected to be limited to a maximum of four properties within the current Five Year Plan. This is based on existing land/improvement assessment ratios.

#### Recommendation

#### It is RECOMMENDED THAT:

- Council instruct the Supervisor of Property and Insurance to negotiate for the purchase of Lots 1 and 2, Block 3, Sec. 29, T.H.S.L., the source of funds to be the 1971-75 Park Site Purchase Programme.
- 2. The Rupert Park Extension containing all remaining land between Rupert Street and the present Rupert Park Site, be included in Table II of the 1971-75 Park Purchase Programme for protective purchasing."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development be approved.'

## 5. Proposed Senior Citizens' Public Housing Project: S/E Corner of 7th Avenue and Yew Street

The Board of Administration under date of May 2, 1972 reported as follows:

'The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:

"The Council on December 21, 1971 adopted the following recommendations of the Technical Planning Board:

'(1) THAT Council approve Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block 304, District Lot 526, as the site for a senior citizens' project to be provided under Section 40 of the National Housing Act and request the Senior Governments to investigate this project on the basis that the City would assemble the land for sale to the Federal-Provincial partnership as in the case of the West End (FP 10) Senior Citizens' Project, for the partnership to construct the project thereon, in accordance with the RN-3 District Schedule of the Zoning and Development By-law.

5. Proposed Senior Citizens' Public Housing Project:

S/E Corner of 7th Avenue and Yew Street (cont'd)

Preliminary investigations indicate that costs per unit may be about \$12,500 for high-rise and \$11,700 for a low-rise building and that a limit may be placed on the project by the Provincial Government of approximately \$10,200. Accordingly the Technical Planning Board recommends

- (2) THAT application be made to accept the project on the basis of the approximate cost figures prepared. If the project is approved in principle by the three levels of government, then an investigation be undertaken to include an examination of both high-rise and low-rise construction, to take account of both economic and social factors and the effect of the development on the surrounding area.
- (3) THAT, subject to agreement by the Senior Governments to proceed on the above basis, the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be instructed to negotiate the purchase of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block 304, District Lot 526.
- (4) THAT the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be given authority to assist in the rehousing of people displaced because of the proposed development, it being noted that the B.C. Housing Commission gives first priority to people displaced by the acquisition and clearance of the recommended site.
- (5) THAT arrangements be made to allow people with experience in living in this type of accommodation to participate in the planning of the project.'

City officials, together with representatives of the Province and C.M.H.C. and recently also the Director of Housing for the Greater Vancouver Regional District, have continued to meet with representatives of local groups and others interested in the project. As a result of these meetings, it has been confirmed:

- (1) The location of the proposed project is satisfactory.
- (2) A low-rise building is preferred rather than a high-rise building as originally contemplated.

Investigations by the Senior Governments have resulted in cost estimates per unit (including cost of land) and resulting rents approximately as follows:

	3-Storey Frame Building with Elevator (70 Units).	13-Storey High Rise Building (114 Units)
	\$	\$
Approx. Cost per Unit	13,100	12,900
Estimated Monthly Rents to Tenants		
Bachelor	7 2	72
1-Bedroom	88	87

The cost estimates have assumed that the project will be developed through the 'developer proposal' method and that a proposal call can be issued within 3 to 6 months.

5. Proposed Senior Citizens' Public Housing Project:
S/E Corner of 7th Avenue and Yew Street (cont'd)

The estimated monthly rents per unit have been calculated in the same way as for private non-profit senior citizens' housing financed through Section 15 of the National Housing Act and the Provincial one-third grant. This is the basis used by the B.C. Housing Management Commission in setting rents for senior citizens' units in Federal/Provincial housing projects.

Representatives of the Senior Governments have advised that they will recommend that this project proceed to the 'formal approval' stage on receipt of confirmation from the City that the approximate estimates of cost and general form of development proposed are acceptable. The approximate estimates include an allowance of \$275,000 for the assembly of the site. The senior government 'formal approval' is understood to mean that they are prepared to purchase the land from the City at its cost of acquisition including costs of registration and demolitions, as they did for the FP 10 (Nicholson Tower) project at Nelson and Thurlow Streets. If, however, the project does not proceed there is the possibility that the City, having purchased the site, might have to attempt to dispose of it to some other purchaser.

Board of Directors of the Greater Vancouver Regional District, on April 26, 1972, adopted a resolution to the effect that, on formal application by the City of Vancouver, following assembly and acquisition of the site, the District will accept responsibility for the Kitsilano Senior Citizens' Housing Project. This confirms my understanding that the City is expected to acquire the site for transfer to the senior governments, to assist in relocating occupants and to provide certain other assistance.

The Senior Government representatives prefer that the project be built through 'developer proposal' procedure (similar to the FP 10 Nicholson Tower Project) rather than through the appointment of an architect to first prepare sketch plans and approximate estimates and then working drawings, specifications and tender documents, which was the procedure for FP 19 (Oppenheimer Lodge). They are agreeable also to people with experience in living in this type of accommodation participating in the planning of the project as requested by the City.

At a meeting in the Kitsilano area on April 24, 1972 general agreement was reached between local people, C.M.H.C., Regional District and City officials that a local representative with experience in living in senior citizens' housing should be a member of a 'Steering Committee' to draft the proposal call documents. It was also generally agreed that this local member would be at liberty to call on specialist advisors on a voluntary basis, to the extent that the local member considered necessary.

Meanwhile, site acquisition should begin as early as possible to allow as much time as possible for negotiations and assistance in relocation of residents from the existing buildings on the site.

In order to expedite this project, it is recommended that:

- (1) Council confirm that it favours the project being in the form of a low-rise building.
- (2) Council request the Province to seek the approval of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation to proceed with this project under Section 40 of the National Housing Act.

- Proposed Senior Citizens' Public Housing Project: S/E Corner of 7th Avenue and Yew Street (cont'd)
  - The Supervisor of Property and Insurance be instructed to (3) negotiate for the purchase of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, Block 304, D.L. 526 and to assist in any necessary rehousing of people displaced in accordance with recommendation (3) and (4) referred to above, and that the necessary advances for these purchases be made from \$1,000,000 Revolving Fund for housing, such advances to be repaid upon disposal of the site to the senior governments.
  - The Supervisor of Property and Insurance be instructed to (4) obtain the concurrence of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation that the costs of acquisition are fair and reasonable in similar manner to that adopted in the assembly of other public housing sites, prior to submission of individual purchases for Council's approval.
  - The Director of Planning and Civic Development, or his representative, be authorized to sit on such Steering Committee as may be set up to draft the proposal call documents."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development be approved.'

MOVED by Alderman Hardwick,

THAT recommendation (1) in the report by the Director of Planning and Civic Development, i.e that Council confirm it favours the project being in the form of a low-rise building, be approved.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

THAT the balance of the recommendations of the Director of Planning and Civic Development, i.e. (2) to (5) inclusive, be approved.

- CARRIED

6. Clause 1 - Lease Extension: Haro Park

Clause 2

- Lease of Lane Allowance: South of 8th Ave., East of Burrard

- Sale of Residential Lots: Clause 3 Area 'B', Champlain Heights

The Board of Administration under date of May 5, 1972 submitted the following property report:

Lease Extension of Haro Park '(1.) Lots 23-26, Block 32, D.L. 185 Known as 1231 Haro Street

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:-

"The above site has been held under lease by the Federal Government for use of the Department of Veteran Affairs to May 31st, 1972.

By letter dated April 6th, 1972, the Crown (Department of Public Works) has requested a three-month extension.

### 6. Property Matters (cont'd)

The letter states "unforeseen circumstances have delayed completion of alterations to alternative premises planned for the tenants now accommodated on Haro Park Site and it is requested that consideration be given to extending the lease term to a further period of 3 months, from June 1st to August 31st, 1972. This extension will allow tenant occupancy to June 30th and two months for demolition and site work."

In subsequent discussions, the Department of Public Works has advised the Property & Insurance Office that the Alvin Building on Robson Street is being renovated to house the Department of Veteran Affairs (major occupants of 1231 Haro Street), and that such work should be completed by June 30th, 1972, provided there is no construction shutdown. The National Parole Board, who were also in 1231 Haro Street, are moving into Pacific Centre.

RECOMMENDED that a lease extension to August 31st, 1972 be granted, on the same terms and conditions, as the existing lease."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be adopted.

(2.) Lease of 10 Foot Lane Allowance South of 8th Avenue, East of Burrard Street, South of Lot A, Block 328, D.L. 526

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:-

"The 10-foot lane allowance South of Lot A, Block 328, D.L. 526, has been leased to Clark Simpkins Limited on a year to year basis, since January 1st, 1962. The present rental is \$125.00 per annum. In accordance with the agreement, a review has been undertaken which indicates that the rental should be increased to \$300.00 per annum. The lessee has agreed to the proposed increase.

RECOMMENDED that the rental of the 10-foot lane allowance South of Lot A, Block 328, D.L. 526 be increased to \$300.00 per annum, effective January 1st, 1972."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be adopted.

(3.) Sale of Residential Lots, Area 'B', Champlain Heights (Zoned: RS-1)

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:

"In accordance with City Council's Resolution of March 28th, 1972, the 185 single family lots in Area "B" of Champlain Heights were advertised for sale on the basis of fixed price, to individuals, with the sale being restricted to one lot per individual. Council's instruction on these lots is for a period of approximately 30 days, after which any unsold lots will be re-advertised on the basis of a sealed tender with the highest offer above the upset price being accepted.

#### 6. Property Matters (cont'd)

AMENDED SEE PAGE 6

On the basis of Council's restricted sales procedure, tenders were opened on April 24th at a meeting of the Board of Administration in Committee Room No. 1. As a result of the meeting the following sales by tender are recommended to be approved under the terms and conditions set down by City Council.

Name	Lot	Approx.Size	Sales Price	Terms	Conditions
Regino C. Romero	16	цц' x 120'	\$14,250.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Rudy Buchsbaum	17	42' x 120'	\$14,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
George Rusin	18	l <sub>1</sub> 2' x 120'	\$1l <sub>4</sub> ,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Christing Fung	19	42' x 120'	\$14,000.00	Cash	
Kornelius Neustadter	20	/ <sub>1</sub> 2' x 120'	\$11,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	•
Robert F. Schibild	21	42' x 120'	\$14,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Alvin C. and Elaine M. Olson	22	42' x 120'	\$11,000.00	Cash	
Kenneth Johnson	23	42' x 120'	\$14,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	P.U. Easement approx. 5' x 13', S.E. Corner
Russell Sass	24	42' x 120'	\$14,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	P.U. Easement approx. 5' x 13', N/E corner.
Edith Sacker	25	μ2' x 120'	\$11,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Ronald Tong	26	42' x 120'	\$14,000.00	Cash	

### 6. Property Matters (cont'd)

Name	Lot	Approx.Size	Sales Price	Terms	Conditions
Margaret Mantler	27	42' x 120'	\$14,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Guo-Yung Ling Grace Mun Suen Ling	28	կկ՝ x 120'	<b>\$</b> 14 <b>,</b> 250.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Pasquale & Maria Altobelli	29	50' x 120'	\$15,000.00	Cash	
Petar and Andjelka Pejkovic	30	50' x 120'	\$15,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Richard Goebels	31	50' x 120'	\$15,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Adolf Kellner	32	50' x 120'	\$15,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Marion Ker	33	Irregular 6734 sq.ft.	\$15,500.00	City Terms @ 9\$	
Henry Herbert Penner	34	Irregular 7778 sq.ft.	\$15,800.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Jacob Sawatzky	<b>3</b> 5	Irregular 7640 sq.ft.	\$15,800.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Jean M. Wallace	36	Irregular 6422 sq.ft.	\$15,600.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Alex. A and Olga Nemeth	37	56' x 115'	\$15,600.00	City Terms © 93	
Joseph Vulama	38	55' x 115'	\$15,600.00	City Terms	

@ 9%

### 6. Property Matters (cont'd)

Property Mat	tters	<del></del>			
Name	Lot	Approx.Size	Sales Price	Terms	Conditions
Audrey Yvonne Burton	43	55' x 115'	\$15,600.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Jean M. Bishop	45	52' x 115'	\$15,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement, 5' x 13' Southeast corner
Rose Heller	46	52' x 115'	\$15,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	South 5 feet Fub. Util. E
Helmer Adrian & Mabel I. Kvist	47	52' x 115	\$15,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	South 5 feet .
Marion Aldred Coen	48	52' x 115'	\$15,500.00	City Terms	South 5 feet "
Guido & Anna Garzitto	49	52' x 115'	\$15,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	South 5 Feet "
Kenneth J. & Margo D. Lott	50	52' x 115'	\$15,500.00	City Terms	South 5 feet "
Peter & Enid W. Walker	51	52' x 115'	\$15,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	South 5 feet "
Josephine Alda Willis	52	Irregular 6937 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	South 5 feet "
Ken Stanley Mueller	53	Irregular 6806 sq.ft.	\$16,200.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Chia Chen & Inez I Ging Teng	55	55' x 115'	\$16,000.00	City Terms Ø 9%	
Frank & Kay Huang	57	55' x 115'	\$16,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Lucy Shen Chiu	58	55' x 115'	\$16,000.00	Cash	
Francesco Marchioni	60	551 x 1151	\$16,000.00	Cash	Public Utility Easement, 2' x 16', Northeast corner
Hing Yoon & Kay Lee	61	Irregular 71/12 sq.ft.	\$17,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	

@ 9%



### 6. Property Matters (cont'd)

Name	Lot	Approx.Size	Sales Price	Terms	Conditions
Bert Koppel	66	Irregul <b>ar</b> 9279 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Oscar & Helen Mae Osipov	67	Irregular 8615 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	Cash	Public Utility Ease- ment over the easterly 5 feet
Daniel M. & Lydia Huzyk	71	Irregular 11259 sq.ft.	\$16,800.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Giustino and Antonietta Faraone	74	Irregular 6594 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	Cash	
Clarence Peter Brandt	77	Irregular 7277 sq.ft.	\$17,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
John & Anne Thiessen	79	60' x 120'	\$16,600.00	Cash	
Kenneth & Pam Welwood	83	Irregular 7028 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Donn E. and Lynda Chalmers	91	120' x 60'	\$16,600.00	Cash	Fublic Utility Easement over the West 5'
Ray Rodney	92	60 x 120'	\$16,600.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Ease- ment over the West 5 feet
Edmond & May Lee	94	60' x 120'	\$17,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	Fublic Utility Easement over the West 5 feet
George Greer Coffey	95	60' x 110'	\$16,800.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Leslie Baranyi	96	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
James & Barbara Eng	97	60' x 110'	\$16,500,00	Cash	
Timothy · James Eng	98	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms	
Melvin & Mary Flathen	99	Irregular 7375 sq.ft.	\$16,600.00	City Terms 0 9%	

Antonio

Marocco

Public Utility Easement over the Westerly 51

Cash

### BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (continued)

BOARD OF	ADMIN	ISTRATION A	ND OTHER REP	ORIS (C	continued)
Property Ma	tters	(cont'd)			
Name	Lot	Approx.Size	Sales Price	Terms	Conditions
Yuen Sau Har	104	65' x 110'	\$16,800.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Clarence & Carole Hansen	107	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easemen over the West 5 feet
Gregory Stephen Eng	108	Irregular 6927 sq.ft.	\$16,800.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easemen over the westerly 5 feet.
Joseph Pighin	109	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easemen over the West 5 feet
Ned Bikich	111	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement over the West 5 feet
Walter & Anne Semkuley	112	Irregular 7263 sq.ft.	\$17,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement over the West 5 feet
Milan Jakubec	113	Irregular 6595 sq.ft.	\$18,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Frank Vernon	114	Irregular 6773 sq.ft.	\$18,000.00	Cash	
Kris & Beret Boe	115	Irregular 6483 sq.ft.	\$18,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Michael & Marjorie Levy	116	Irregular 6537 sq.ft.	\$18,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
		·			
Abdul Shaikh		Irregular 6679 sq.ft.	\$1.7,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement over the Northerly 5 feet
Michael & Christine Nye		Irregular 6969 sq.ft.	\$16,800.00	Cash	Public Utility Ensement over the Westerly 6
Umberto Mrocco	120	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	Cash	Public Utility Easement over the Westerly 5

121 60' x 130' \$16,500.00

6.	Property	<b>Matters</b>	(cont	'd)

•	Property M		<del>-, </del>		_	
	Name	Lot	Approx.Size	Sales Price	Terms	Conditions
	Hin Kam Tsang Chow	125	Irregular 6343 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	Cash	Public Utility Easement over the Westerly 7'
	Ingrid E. Genz	127	Irregular 6681 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
	Stevo Vulama	128	Irregular 6751 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
	Samson Mac	132	60' x 110'	\$16,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement over the Easterly 5 feet
	Ernest Bunderla	1.36	Irregular 10286 sq.ft.	\$16,800.00	Cash	
	Nick M. & Diane A. Kalinowski	139	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
	Mirko & Maria Pejkovic	140	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	Cash	
	Theodor Kondratzky	141	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	Cash	
	Ugo Bellini .	142	60' x 110'	<b>\$16,</b> 500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
	Nicholas Folino	143	Irregular 6728 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement over the Southerly 5 feet
	Reinhard & Hilda Gogolin	11,4	63' x 121'	\$16,600.00	City' Terms © 9%	Public Utility Fasement over the Northery 5 feet
	Lorne Wilson	147	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms @ 9%	Fublic Utility Easement over the South 5 feet
	Josip & Barica Tomichich	150	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Torms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement over the South 5 feet
	Bill Rusin	152	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement over the South 5 feet
	Sharron-Ellen Rusin	<b>1</b> 96	Irregular 6448 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms G9%	Public Utility Easement S/W corner, 7' x 12'

6.	Property Matters (cont	'd)

· -	Topor by M	40001	(00110 47			
<u>Na</u> Jai	ke Loewen	<u>Lot</u> 156	Approx.Size Irregular 7755 sq.ft.	\$17,000.00	Terms City Terms @ 9%	Conditions Public Utility Easement over the Southerly 3'
	aquin Sequera	157	Irregular 6718 sq.ft.	\$16,800.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement over the N/E corner, 3' x 49'
	lliam T. & leen Chow	159	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms @ 9%	
	am & Maria Lmat	160	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	Cash	
Mar	Lok Luk	161	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	Cash	
	exander erkezoff	162	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms @ 9%	Public Utility Easement N/W corner, 7' x l'
Geo Peo	orge Frank one	163	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms @ 9%	
	rid S. ekiel	164	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms @ 9%	
	rlcs Peter danuk	165	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Tho Mah	mas C.	168	52' x 120'	\$17,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
	islav & fica gl	171	52' x 120'	\$17,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Vic	tor Loewen	172	52' x 120'	\$17,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
	my C. & a Wong	173	52' x 120	\$17,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Pet Loe	er & Olga wen	17կ	52' x 120'	\$17,000.00	City Terms G 9%	
Ken Hea	neth H. ley	175	Trregular 7685 sq.ft.	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	

### 6. Property Matters (cont'd)

Name	Lot	Approx.Size	Sales Price	Terms	Conditions
Kevin and Elizabeth Fayers	176	Irregular 8400 sq.ft.	\$17,800.00	City Terms @ 9%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Andrew Rusin	177	Irregular 7833 sq.ft.	\$17,600.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Francis & Mary Seipp	178	Irregular 4738 sq.ft.	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Nand Gopal Jethi	179	Irregular 8128 sq.ft.	\$17,600.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Alan and Margaret Whiteley	183	50' x 133' 68/9 Sq.ft.	\$16,200.00	City Terms @ 9%	P.U. Easement over the Southerly 5 feet and the Easterly 5 feet
Hong-Fat Chan	185	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Cornelis & Cornelia Van Viersen	186	50' х 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Raymond & Helen Brittain	187	50' x 140'	\$17,500,00	Cash	
Stanley Kwok	191	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Richard ROW:	192	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Tiu Nah Kong	193	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Henry Sai-Muk Ng	19և	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Hobert Liang	195	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Norval & Margaret Riley	196	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	Cash	

### 6. Property Matters (cont'd)

Name	<u>Iot</u>	Approx. Size	Sales Price	Terms	Conditions
Wilson Mak Hon Kai	197	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Arne Kellner	198	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Robert & Wendy Kline	199	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	Cash	
Stanley & Marjorie Joughin	200	50' х 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Donald & Shirley Martin	201	50' х 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
John Edward & Eleanor Joughin	202	50' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Branko & Agatha Hitrec	203	50 x 140'	\$17,500.00	Cash	

### Bulkhead Agreements

The purchaser of any lot which is more than one foot above or below the finished grade of the abutting streets or lane will be required to enter into a bulkhead agreement."

### Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be adopted.

(A copy of the plan of the lots involved is circulated for the information of Council.)  $^{\prime}$ 

MOVED by Alderman Broome, THAT the foregoing Board of Administration report, Clauses 1, 2 and 3, be approved.

- CARRIED

### 7. Research Project Study: Children Out of Wedlock

MOVED by Alderman Bird, THAT the following report dated April 21, 1972, submitted by the Board of Administration be approved:

- CARRIED

7. Research Project Study: Children Out of Wedlock (cont'd)

'The Medical Health Officer reports as follows:

"On April 5, 1972 I received confirmation through the Deputy Provincial Health Officer that a Federal Research Grant in the amount of \$6,965.00 has been approved to carry out the first stage of the three year research project stated above.

The Health Department has recognized the necessity of obtaining answers to the needs presented by children raised by unmarried mothers. There has been sufficient concern that our department has provided since November 1966 special prenatal classes for single mothers, as well as providing additional nursing attention to unwed mothers who keep their infants.

The Health Department recognize that it is very difficult to adequately counsel young people on the consequences of pregnancy without knowing the likely effect on the children born in the situation. The purpose of this study is to determine if the child born in the situation, is adversely affected by being retained by the natural mother. If so the Health Department would be in an improved position for providing more adequate counselling to young people, and to provide appropriate health and educational services in order to influence a favourable outcome.

The Budget is appended. (Salary estimates are based on 1971 rates. Upon finalization of 1972 rates a further submission will be made to Ottawa requesting additional funds. When costs of any project increase it is normal practice to request any supplementary funding.)

In order to implement this research project the following staff will be required in addition to the normal staff complement.

Psychiatrist 72 sessions at \$55 per session

Public Health Part-time - 10 hours per week for six months

Nurse I - 3½ hours per week for six months

Clerk/Steno II (same hours as Public Health Nurse)

The above positions have been discussed with the Acting Director of Personnel Services who confirms the classifications and salary rates."

### Your Board RECOMMENDS that

- (a) The "Follow-Up Study to the Thirtieth Month of a Population of Children Born Out of Wedlock in the City of Vancouver and Remaining with their Mother" be operated by the Health Department for the duration of the federal funding support.
- (b) The required positions as confirmed by the Acting Director of Personnel Services be established.
- (c) That the first stage costs of the project, in the amount of \$6,965, be approved on the understanding that the total of these costs are recoverable under a Federal Research Grant.

### 8. Professional Liability Insurance

The Board of Administration under date of April 27, 1972 submitted the following report:

'Your Board has received the following report from the Deputy Director of Finance:

"As Council is aware, a committee of City officials is reviewing the City's requirements for liability insurance. Prior to asking underwriters to submit proposal-quotations on the City's overall needs your committee feels that Council may wish to provide some direction on the matter of coverage of certain classes of City employees in the performance of their duties. The classes of employees are:

Doctors, dentists, nurses and certain technical personnel Engineers, architects, and surveyors Lawyers.

All of these employees may be subject to an action for damages, by a third party, if the employee has exceeded his authority, or has, through negligence or inadvertance, caused harm to another person or persons. Examples are a doctor who gives the wrong injection, an engineer who through faulty design creates a situation where something collapses. in most cases it is assumed that the City would also be sued for damages.

The insurance review committee is of the opinion that there is justification for considering protecting these employees by professional liability insurance and further that the City should be protected in the event of actions jointly against the employee and the City. it should be pointed out that to the best of any staff member's memory the City and/or professional staff have never been subject to any action for damages of the type described.

If Council is in favor of such protection then an interim policy should be taken out now, which would be replaced by the overall package liability policy if and when Council approves it. Council's approval or disapproval now of the various aspects of this section of liability insurance will also provide the review committee with the necessary guidelines for approaching the brokerage community on the package policy.

in order to establish some idea of costs we obtained a quotation for approximate premium costs for one year, as follows:

- Doctors, dentists, nurses and technical personnel \$4,500 premium for 1 year's protection for the City, 212 full time personnel and 74 part time personnel, with limit of \$500,000 one claim and \$1,000,000 aggregate claims.
- 2. Engineers, surveyors, architects

52 professional engineers, 5 surveyors, 3 architects

- a) for limits of \$250,000 one claim, \$500,000 aggregate claims,
   with \$5,000 deductible, premium cost approximately \$20,000 per year.
- b) for limits of \$1,000,000 for one claim, \$1,500,000 aggregate claims with \$5,000 deductible, premium cost approximately \$40,000 per year.
- 3. Lawyers

9 in Law Department, 25 in Prosecutor's Office No firm estimate is available but cost would presumably be between \$150 - \$300 per year per Law Department lawyer and considerably less for those in the Prosecutor's Office.

### 8. Professional Liability Insurance (cont'd)

The City Medical Health Officer, Dr. Bonham, is quite concerned about the need for liability insurance. Our analysis suggests that the medical area is the highest risk component in terms of potential number of claims of the three listed above. The Health Department prescribes and administers drugs, gives dental treatment, performs health counselling, runs the immunization program, controls persons and premises from the health point of view, and issues orders for the abatement of health problems and destruction of unhealthy produce. All of these activities can, through accident or carelessness, open the City and the staff member to liability.

Category 2 (engineers, surveyors, architects) appears to have a somewhat lower priority than the medical area, even though it should be noted that the amount of liability arising from an 'occurance' could be much greater. The cost of protection also appears to be somewhat prohibitive. Based on the experience of Ottawa City, which a short while ago completely reviewed their liability situation and developed a comprehensive liability policy, it appears that protection would be best included in a comprehensive liability policy. We will be including such coverage in the submission to the brokers.

Category 3 (lawyers) appears to have the lowest priority and in point of fact the lawyers in the Prosecutor's Office should probably be dropped from sonsideration. The lawyers in the Law Department could potentially be open to liability but it is difficult to evaluate the risk. The cost of protecting the lawyers and the City would appear to be between \$1,350 to \$2,700 per year.

### Recommendation

That the Category 1 personnel (doctors, dentists, nurses and technical personnel) and the City be jointly protected by liability insurance for one year, with a limit of \$100,000 for one claim and \$500,000 aggregate claims. The cost should be less than the \$4,500 estimated above as the limits have been reduced.

In view of the restricted market for this insurance it is further recommended that the brokerage firms of Macaulay Nicholls Maitland & Co. Ltd. and Leslie Wright and Rolfe Limited be asked to separately approach underwriters to obtain policy proposals with the award being to the broker producing the best combination of price and conditions, as determined by the insurance Review Committee.

### For Council Consideration

- 1. Does Council wish to protect Category 2 personnel (engineers, surveyors, architects) at a cost of approximately \$20,000 per year for limits of \$250,000 and \$500,000 or approximately \$40,000 per year for limits of \$1,000,000 and \$1,500,000. The City would also be protected. It is anticipated that protection of the City will be included in the 'package policy' proposal. It is possible that the premium costs quoted could be reduced by 25% in detailed discussions wit underwriters. If Council is in favor then we would approach the market as under the Medical staff coverage recommended.
- 2. Does Council wish to protect Category 3 (Law Department lawyers) at a cost between \$1,350 to \$2,700 per year. Again, the City would be covered and in any event will presumably be covered under the 'package policy' proposal.

If Council decides to cover Categories 2 and 3 now, then the desirability of this coverage would be included in the specifications to the brokers for proposed package policy. Conversely, Council may not wish to provide this protection now but may direct the Insurance Review Committee to include it in the specifications for the 'package policy' on the assumption that cost of inclusion in a package would be considerably less than a separate policy. Council could then, at the time of considering whatever package proposals are developed by brokers/underwriters, delete or include the protection on the basis of cost or other considerations."

Your Board recommends that Council adopt the recommendation of the Deputy Director of Finance and submits the other items for Council consideration.

### 8. Professional Liability Insurance (cont'd)

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT the Board of Administration recommendation re Category (1) personnel be approved, and no further action be taken in respect of other personnel submitted in this report for consideration, and referred to as Category (2) and (3) personnel.

- CARRIED

## 9. Deputy Mayor as Ex-Officio Member of Board of Administration

MOVED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration dated April 18th, 1972, on the subject of Deputy Mayor as Ex-Officio Member of the Board of Administration be referred to the Standing Committee on General Purposes for consideration.

- CARRIED

## 10. Sale of Residential Lots: Area 'B', Champlain Heights (Second Report)

MOVED by Alderman Hardwick,

THAT the following report of the Board of Administration under date of May 5, 1972 be approved.

- CARRIED

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:

"On the basis of City Council's resolution of March 28, 1972, the single femily lots in Area "B" of Champlain Heights are being marketed on the basis of fixed price, to individuals, with the sale being restricted to one lot per individual.

The following offers to purchase thave been received by the Supervisor of Property &  $I_n$  surance, on the fixed price basis, and it is

RECOMMENDED that they be approved under the terms and conditions set down by City Council:

Name	Lot	Approx. Size	Sales Price	Terms	CONDITIONS
Donald & Shirley Walker	39	55' x 115'	\$15,600.00	City Terms & 9%	Subj.to Public Utilities Easement over E. 5
йше хор <u>ф</u>	40	55, x 112,	\$15,600.00	City Terms 9%	Subj.to Publ.Utilities Easement over W. 5'
Clifford & Grace Ferraby	41	55' x 11.5'	\$15,600.00	Cash	
Josef & Maria Frank	42	55' x 115'	\$15,600.00	City Terms @ 9%	

## 10. Sale of Residential Lots: Area 'B', Champlain Heights (cont'd)

Name	<u>Lot</u>	Approx. Size	Sales Price	Terms	Conditions
Howard Lichty	54	Irregular 6,457 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	City Terms	
Joseph G. Durante	56	55' x 115'	\$16,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Bill & Henrieta Dolmat	<b>5</b> 9	55' x 115'	\$16,000.00	Cash	
Ernest A. Durante	62	60' x 120'	\$16,500.00	City Terms & 9%	
Avtar & Surjit Gill	63	Irregular 7,897 Sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms © 9%	
Lionel & Margaret Morris	64	Irregular 6,415 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	City Terms & 9%	
John Chong Quan	65	Irregular 6,632 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	City Terms & 9%	
Ching-Fong & Grace Shou- Ling Ng	<b>7</b> 2	Irregular 6,535 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Donald Michael Callaghan	<b>7</b> 5	Irregular 6,770 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Victor Walko	76	60' x 115'	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Adam & Marie Dukowski	78	Irregular 7,442 sq.ft.	\$17,000.00	City Terms © 9%	
George & Milka Vukasovic	80	60' x 120'	\$16,600.00	City Terms & 9%	
John & Myrna Hedd <b>e</b> n	81	Irregular 8,199 sq.ft.	\$16,800.00	City Terms	

10. Sale of Residential Lots:
Area 'B', Champlain Heights (cont'd)

Name Area B	Lot	Approx. Size	Sales Price	•	Conditions
James &	82	Irregular	\$16,600.00	City	en e
Winnifred Collins		7,314 sq.ft.		Terms w 9%	
Carmello Aufiero	88	Irregular 6,802 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms & 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over West 5
Geraldine Zapanta	89	Irregular 6,953 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms & 9%	Subject to Public Utilities Easement over West 5!
Anthony & Mary Carl	90	Trregular 6,589 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms & 9%	Subj.to Public Utilities Easement over Most 5!
Allan & Hary bappi	93	60' x 120'	\$16,600.00	Cash	Subject to Public Utilities Easement over West 5!
Alfred Maia	1.00	55' x 110'	\$16,000.00	City Terms w 9%	
Morio Cipriano	101	55' x 110'	\$16,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over East 3feet.
Albin & Helen Tyrchniewicz	103	Irregular 6,157 sq.ft.	\$16,200.00	Cash	
Stephen & Suzanne Lee	105	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	½ cash, balance 6 mo.499	, Easement 5' x 13' at
John Koenig	106	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms w 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over West 5'
Reynaldo Tioseco	122	60' x 110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms w 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over West 5:
Patrick & Jadine Shen	138	Irregular 6,088 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	City Terms \$\psi\$ 9%	•
William WorsJey	146	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms @ 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over South 5!
Jakes Iscac Kline	148	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms © 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over South 51

## 10. Sale of Residential Lots: Area 'B', Champlain Heights (cont'd)

Nume	Lot	Approx. Size	e Sales Price	e Terms	Conditions
Sidney James Reed	149	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms w 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Essement over South 71
Alan Lau	151	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms & 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over South 5!
Richard & Shirley Main	153	50' x .110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms & 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over South 51
Rodelfo S. Tioseco	155	lrregular 6,679 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms © 9%	
Douglas C. Moody	153	Irregular 6,508 sq.ft.	\$16,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Abrahan Epp	166	50' x 110'	\$15,700.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Henry Siu	169	52' <b>x</b> 120'	\$17,000.00	City Terms & 9%	
Elisabeth Hildebrand	170	52' x 120'	\$17,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Ben Neufeld	181	Irregular 6,412 sq.ft.	\$16,000.00	City Terms @ 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over South 5!
Reiner & Alice Blum	188	50.34'x140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms @ 9%	
Vera M. Smith	189	50.34' x 140'	\$17,500.00	City Terms & 9%	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over East 5!
Francis Cheung Wai Yu	190	50.34' x 140'	\$17,500.00	Cash	
Edmind Thies- sen	73	irregular 6719 Sq.ft.	\$16,700.00	City Terms 9%	
Agata & Domen- ico Taddei	87	Irregular 7047 Sq.ft.	<b>\$16,500.0</b> 0	City Terms %	Subj.to Public Utilities Easement over West 5'

### 10. Sale of Residential Lots: Area 'B', Champlain Heights (cont'd)

Nicola & Gina Taddei	102	55' x 110'	\$16,000.00	City Terms 9%	Subj.to Public Utilities Easement, 3' x 3' at South-east corner of lot
John Rusin	110	60'x 110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms 95	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over West 51.
Ashton A. Bell	123	60'x110'	\$16,500.00	City Terms	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over West 51.
Sharron A. Rusin	145	Irregular 7619 sq.ft.	\$16,300.00	City Terms 94	
Frances Cohen	117	Irregular 6900 sq.ft	018,000.00 •	Cash	
Donald Davies	137	Irrecular 6986 sq.ft	\$16,400.00 •	City Terms 95	
Noseph H % Phyllis A.Cohen	184	50.34 x 140	017,500.00	Cash	Subj. to Public Utilities Easement over Mest 5.

### Bullchead Acrooments

The purchaser of any lot which is more than one foot above or below the finished grade of the abutting streets or lane will be required to enter into a bulkhead agreement."

Your Board RECOMMENDS the foregoing report of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be adopted."

### 11. West End Community Centre/ Senior Citizens Housing Project

The Board of Administration under date of May 4th, 1972 submitted the following report:

'The Director of Planning and Civic Development has reported as follows:

"In February this year, City Council concluded negotiations which had been in the hands of a special committee chaired by Alderman Bird by agreeing to a procedure and cost figures for the senior citizens'wing of the West End Project to proceed. These figures had previously been agreed to by the Minister of Municipal Affairs for the Provincial Government and by officials of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation.

As a result, the architects, Thompson, Berwick, Pratt and Partners were instructed to prepare revised schematic drawings up to the level required by C.M.H.C. for final approval of the revised design. Until that time, other work on the project was halted. The revised design is required for submission to a public hearing to rezone the site to CD-1. Prior to the development of the present design for the senior citizens' portion of the project, Council, on November 2, 1972 approved recommendations contained in the Board of Administration report of October 19, 1971, including:

- 3. Authorize the architects to proceed, so far as Council is concerned, when written confirmation is received from the Senior Governments, without awaiting formal legal documentation of agreement.
  - 4. Instruct the Director of Planning and Civic Development to make application for rezoning the project area to CD-1.

### 11. West End Community Centre/ Senior Citizens Housing Project (cont'd)

The Vancouver City Planning Commission on December 17, 1971, when considering the above-mentioned application for rezoning did not endorse the Technical Planning Board's recommendations, including:

3. Design to be generally in accordance with the design concept approved by Council on November 2, 1971.

The Commission has not had the opportunity to consider the revised plans and under present circumstances it is understood that Council may wish to deal with the re-zoning application at a regular meeting, and to invite the Commission to express its views at that meeting.

The present situation regarding the revised sketch plans, and the related agreement is:

### (1) Province -

Letter of March 20, 1972 from Mr. J. T. Williams advised that the Province approved the revised design.

### (2) <u>Central Mortgage & Housing Corporation</u> -

By letter of April 20, 1972, Mr. K. B. Ganong, Branch Manager, C.M.H.C. stated that the Corporation was prepared to approve in principle, the preliminary design and cost estimates. The letter states also that the approval in principle is authority for the City to instruct the Consultants to prepare detailed working drawings, subject to certain changes and comments included on a list attached.

### (3) Technical Planning Board -

The Technical Planning Board, on March 17, 1972 received the comments of the Design Panel and approved the preliminary design and on April 14, 1972 confirmed approval of the design.

### (4) Agreement -

The agreement which the City is required to enter into with the Senior Governments is being revised to reflect the current understanding between the parties.

### 1. CONSIDERATION:-

Under present circumstances Council may wish to deal with the re-zoning application for the site at a Public Hearing on the same day as a regular Council meeting, and to invite the City Planning Commission to be present and to express its views at that meeting.

### 2. RECOMMENDATION: -

If re-zoning is approved, it is RECOMMENDED that:

Council instruct the architects to prepare the necessary working drawings, specifications and tender documents, for the Senior Citizens' housing, based on Sketch Plans 1-11 inclusive, dated May 4, 1972, prepared by Thompson, Berwick, Pratt and Partners. "

Your Board submits Item 1 of the foregoing report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development for the CONSIDERATION of Council, and, subject to approval of the rezoning referred to in Item 1, RECOMMENDS that the Director of Planning's recommendation contained in Item 2 be approved.'

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 35

Regular Council, May 9, 1972 . . . . .

### BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (continued)

West End Community Centre/ Senior Citizens Housing Project (cont'd)

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT the rezoning application involved be considered at a Public Hearing to be held at a regular Council meeting time, and the Vancouver City Planning Commission representatives be invited to be present at such meeting to express its views

FURTHER THAT the Council instruct the Architects to prepare the necessary working drawings, specifications and tender documents, for the Senior Citizens' housing, based on Sketch Plans 1 - 11 inclusive, dated May 4, 1972, prepared by Thompson, Berwick, Pratt and Partners.

- CARRIED

### COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Alderman Hardwick,
THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Hardwick, SECONDED by Alderman Bird,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

### BY-LAWS

### MAYOR AND DEPUTY MAYOR INDEMNITY BY-LAW

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 4444, being a By-law to provide for the appointment of a Deputy Mayor and to fix the annual indemnity of the Mayor and the allowance payable to the Deputy Mayor while holding office, and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Adams, SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,
THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, Her Worship the Deputy Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

### BY-LAWS (continued)

#### MAYOR AND DEPUTY MAYOR (cont'd) INDEMNITY BY-LAW

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings.)

(Aldermen Rankin, Hardwick and Phillips are recorded as voting against the passage of this By-law.)

#### 2. ALDERMEN: INDEMNITY BY-LAW

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 4445, being a By-law to fix the amount to be paid annually to the Aldermen of the City, and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, Her Worship the Deputy Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Alderman Broome, SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings.) (Aldermen Rankin and Hardwick are recorded as voting against the passage of this By-law.)

### ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS

Alderman Bird: Kitsilano and Fairview Rezoning enquired when the Council will be considering rezoning applications in respect of Kitsilano and Fairview areas on which public hearings have been held.

After receiving information from the officials, Council determined these applications be placed before Council for consideration on May 30, 1972, at which time the Director of Planning and Civic Development will furnish additional information to Council.

Alderman Broome requested the Director of Planning and Civic Development include in the report to Council, information in regard to the presentation at the public hearing by the B.C. Telephone Co. representative, when it was requested that the Company's property on which a computer centre is located be excluded from the Fairview rezoning.

The Deputy Mayor directed accordingly

SEE PAGE 60

Alderman Wilson:
Downtown Vancouver Transportation
Concepts Report

referred to this report received by Council from the Regional District and enquired regarding consideration of the report.

It was agreed the report be considered by the Standing Committee on Transportation when the consultants will be able to be present to give a report explanation.

Alderman Wilson: Sale of City Owned Property -Corner of Cambie and Georgia enquired respecting the status of sale of City owned property at the south-east corner of Cambie and Georgia Streets. The Council resolution was noted, directing that no further action be taken regarding the property at this time, but at some future date on instruction of the Board of Administration, tenders again be called.

It was noted also that the firm of Boughton, Street and Company is asking to appear before Council on the matter in place of the firm of Braidwood and Company.

It was agreed the delegation would be heard at the May 16th Council meeting.

### ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS (continued)

Alderman Hardwick: Industrial Development Commission of Greater Vancouver advised that the Department of Industrial Development, Trade and Commerce had appointed the Industrial Commissioner of the Industrial Development Commission of Greater Vancouver as Provincial Director. Further, the Department would be assuming many of the duties carried out by the Industrial Development Commission; therefore, the Commission would not be continuing.

The City Clerk agreed to take up with the Director of Finance the situation with respect to the City grant made to the organization.

Alderman Sweeney: Court of Revision - Local Improvements enquired respecting the Council meeting for the purpose of a Court of Revision on Local Improvements to be held Thursday, May 11th at 9:30 a.m., and the necessity therefor

The City Clerk answered the enquiry.

Alderman Hardwick: Consultant's Report: False Creek advised the Consultant's report re False Creek Area will be submitted to Council members this week. The Alderman suggested a report reference be given to the Standing Committee on Planning & Development. It was agreed the report reference be arranged for the regular Council meeting on May 16th, 1972.

The Council adjourned at approximately 3:30 p.m.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

The foregoing are Minutes of the Regular Council meeting dated May 9, 1972, adopted as changed on May 16, 1972.

Mariane Linnell
DEPUTY MAYOR

CITY CLERK